## Exhibit 22

1636 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division BMG RIGHTS MANAGEMENT (US) LLC, : et al., Plaintiffs, : Case No. 1:14-cv-1611 VS. COX ENTERPRISES, INC., et al., : Defendants. VOLUME 8 (A.M. portion) TRIAL TRANSCRIPT December 11, 2015 Before: Liam O'Grady, USDC Judge And a Jury

a premium paid service as well.

And streaming in particular has really increased supply of music in the marketplace and fundamentally changed the supply and demand equation for the music industry.

- Q. And how did you determine how many people might purchase a digital download as opposed to streaming?
- A. I utilized data from BMG. So this is a table that provides an example of some of those data. So I just have four musical works here as examples. You can see that the data were provided on an annual basis.

So for Aretha Franklin, for example, I have data in 2013 as well as data in 2014. You can see from BMG that the revenue, that 97.8 percent of revenue in 2013 for that song came from digital downloads. And 2.2 percent came from streaming.

In the next year, the percentages changed and there was less to digital downloads, only 70.2 percent, whereas the streaming increased to 29.8 percent.

So I looked at each and every individual work in each year to figure out what that allocation is for each of those works, and I used those individual allocations, just like you see here on this table, just except for all of the works.

If you were to then look at the overall attribution or division of revenue between downloads and streaming, you would see that 76.3 percent goes to downloads, 23.7 percent

- 1 goes to streaming.
- Q. Okay. And we are going to see how that relates to your
- 3 lost profits calculations.
- 4 And what does this slide represent?
- 5 A. So this is helping to demonstrate the different ways in
- 6 which music is distributed. So the first row is for
- 7 BitTorrent. And as we have learned, that does not require any
- 8 additional payments, that you attain the music when accessed
- 9 | via Internet. And you can attain albums or individual songs as
- 10 the case may be.
- 11 Most similar to that is streaming and downloads. So
- 12 | streaming has all of those very same characteristics.
- 13 Downloads, however, do require an additional payment. So if
- 14 you were to acquire a song from iTunes, for example, typically
- 15 | it runs \$0.99 or \$1.29, something like that.
- 16 Physical media, of course, is just very different.
- 17 It typically comes in a CD, that's a full album, and it isn't
- 18 being delivered straight to a digital or an electronic device.
- 19 So quite a bit different.
- 20 Q. Okay. So now let's talk about your analysis of lost
- 21 units. You said you did not include uploads in lost units,
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. I did not.
- 24 Q. Can you say briefly again why that is?
- 25 A. There is virtually zero effect that users on the Cox

1699 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division BMG RIGHTS MANAGEMENT (US) LLC, : et al., Plaintiffs, : Case No. 1:14-cv-1611 vs. COX ENTERPRISES, INC., et al., : Defendants. VOLUME 8 (P.M. portion) TRIAL TRANSCRIPT December 11, 2015 Before: Liam O'Grady, USDC Judge And a Jury

1761 1 THE COURT: Yeah, that's way outside. 2 MR. WARIN: All right. 3 THE COURT: Let's confine ourselves to the 4 relevance --5 BY MR. WARIN: 6 Bruno Mars, on page 16, you list certain calculations of how much of that particular song, "The Lazy Song," were derived 8 from streaming as opposed to downloads? 9 Α. That's right. 10 All right. And these are years 2013 and 2014, correct? 11 That's right. Α. 12 All right. Do you know how much the overall revenues that 13 Bruno Mars received for that song? 14 No, not offhand. I would have that in my report at 15 attachment C1. 16 That's correct, you do, but you just don't remember it as 17 you sit here? 18 No, I can't say that I committed to memory 1,400-some-odd 19 songs and their associated revenue. Well, my point is a simple one. During 2013 to 2014, that was a time when whether it's downloads and streaming -- and I

- 20
- 21
- 22 presume what you're measuring here is revenue-producing
- 23 downloads or streaming, correct?
- 24 Α. Revenues to BMG.
- 25 Q. Right. And at that time, whether it's downloads or

2117 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division BMG RIGHTS MANAGEMENT (US) LLC, : et al., Plaintiffs, : Case No. 1:14-cv-1611 vs. COX ENTERPRISES, INC., et al., : Defendants. VOLUME 10 TRIAL TRANSCRIPT December 16, 2015 Before: Liam O'Grady, USDC Judge And a Jury

favor of the plaintiff from a preponderance of the evidence in the case in accordance with the other instructions.

If you find that Cox is liable for contributory infringement, or if you find Cox is liable for vicarious infringement, then you should consider the amount of money to award BMG.

If you find that Cox is neither liable for contributory or vicarious infringement, you should not consider this issue.

BMG seeks an award of statutory damages under the Copyright Act. Statutory damages are damages that are established by Congress in the Copyright Act because actual damages in copyright cases are often difficult to establish with precision. The purposes are to compensate the copyright owner, penalize the infringer, and deter future copyright law violations.

The amount awarded must be between 750 and \$30,000 for each copyrighted work that you found to be infringed. If BMG proves that Cox acted willfully in contributorily or vicariously infringing BMG's copyrights, you may, but are not required to, increase the statutory damage award to a sum as high as \$150,000 per copyrighted work.

You should award as statutory damages an amount that you find to be fair under the circumstances. In determining the appropriate amount to award, you may consider the following

The profits that Cox earned because of the infringement; the expenses Cox saved because of the infringement; the revenues that BMG lost because of the infringement; the difficulty of proving BMG's damages; the circumstances of the infringement; whether Cox acted willfully or intentionally in contributorily or vicariously infringing BMG's copyrights; deterrence of future infringement; and the amount of harm, in the form of monetary loss, that BMG could reasonably have avoided but for the failure to mitigate damages, if you find that BMG did fail to mitigate.

You should award statutory damages whether or not there is evidence of the actual damage suffered by BMG, and your statutory damage award need not be based on the actual damages suffered by BMG.

Cox's contributory or vicarious infringement is considered willful if BMG proves by a preponderance of the evidence that Cox had knowledge that its subscribers' actions constituted infringement of BMG's copyrights, acted with reckless disregard for the infringement of BMG's copyrights, or was willfully blind to the infringement of BMG's copyrights.

In this case, Cox asserts the affirmative defense of failure to mitigate damages. Cox must prove each element of this defense by a preponderance of the evidence.

Plaintiff has a duty to use reasonable efforts to mitigate damages. To "mitigate" means to avoid or reduce